Resistance & Appropriation: Literacy Practices as Agency within Hegemonic Contexts

Kristen H. Perry Michigan State University

Victoria Purcell-Gates University of British Columbia

Hegemony & Agency

- n Current conception of *hegemony* is problematic at best
 - q Considered as singular, rather than multiple
 - q Views subjected as "mystified"
 - q Leads to ideological determinism for subjects
- Agency suggests that actors have awareness of power relationships and make conscious decisions

Clayton's Framework

- n Uses issue of "consciousness" to problematize "mystification"
- Agents either resist or accommodate hegemonic ideologies and practices
 - q Resistance is overt or "everyday"
 - Accommodation involves either collaboration, conversion, or pragmatic acceptance

Our Conceptual Framework

- n Framework emerged from Clayton (1998)
 - Hegemony as an overall system of power relationships
 - $_{\rm \tiny {\footnotesize q}}$ $\it Agency$ as conscious acts that weaken the power of the hegemonic system
- n Literacy as social practice
 - Communities have multiple ways of practicing literacies
 - q Literacies are patterned by culture and power

Research Questions

- n What evidence is there that dominated groups exhibit agency through their language and literacy practices?
- n What types of agency do these practices reflect?
- n What do these literacy practices suggest about the construct of hegemony? About the relationship between hegemony and agency?

Methodology

- n Cross-case analysis of 7 ethnographic case studies from the Cultural Practices of Literacy Study (CPLS):
- n Scholars from Botswana
- n Farmers in Puerto Rico
- n Urban middle-school students in an alternative school
- Chinese-American immigrants
- Orphaned Sudanese refugee youth
- n Cuban refugees
- n An African-American girl

Methodology

- n Inferred agentive practices from:
 - q Meta-matrix of literacy practices across CPLS
 - q Interview transcripts from each case
 - q Contextual data from narrative descriptions each case
- n Coded agentive practices to:
 - q Test Clayton's categories, and
 - q Look for new categories

Two agentive responses to hegemony

- n Identified 41 acts of agency
 - q Acts are collapsed across cases
 - q More than one participant or case
- n Resistance:
 - q rejection through overt or covert means
- n Appropriation:
 - using hegemonic practices for agents' own purposes
 - q transforming the original practice

Patterns in agency across contexts

- n Overt Resistance:
 - q Strong in Cuban and Chinese-American cases
 - q Largely about maintaining a national identity
 - q Largely in cases where hegemonic structure was indirect and allowed for resistance

Patterns in agency across contexts

- n Covert Resistance:
 - q Strong in two middle-school cases
 - n Students were "non-voluntary" population
 - $_{\rm q}\,$ None in cases from Botswana or refugees from Cuba and the Sudan in the U.S.
 - q Largely in cases where hegemonic structure was direct, apparent, and strong

Patterns in agency across contexts

- Appropriation
 - $_{\rm \tiny Q}$ Highest proportion of agentive acts coded as appropriation
 - q All cases exhibited instances of appropriation
 - $_{
 m q}$ Strong responses to hegemony in Puerto Rican farmers and Sudanese refugees
 - n Both driven by political purposes and strife
 - Some appropriations did not involve direct responses to hegemonic context

Types of hegemonies

- n Hegemonies defined by:
 - $_{\mbox{\scriptsize q}}$ the context of the systems involved
 - $_{\mathbf{q}}\,$ the relationship between those in power and those who are dominated
- n Two types of hegemonies
 - Direct: powerful have great control over the dominated
 - n Apparent power structure, clearly subjected groups
 - Diffuse: powerful have less obvious control over the dominated
 - n Power structure less apparent

Types of hegemonies

- n Direct hegemonies
 - q do not allow for overt resistance, but many covert acts
 - $_{\mbox{\tiny {\rm q}}}$ relatively few appropriations
 - q e.g. middle school cases, Cuba, Sudan
- n Diffuse hegemonies
 - q overt resistance is acceptable, covert unnecessary
 - q relatively many appropriations
 - $_{\rm q}\,$ e.g. Botswana, U.S. contexts of immigrants and refugees, Puerto Rico

